



# **Norwich Western Link**

## **Environmental Statement**

### **Chapter 12: Road Drainage and the Water Environment**

#### **Appendix 12.1: Drainage Network Water Quality Assessment**

##### **Sub Appendix A: Routine Runoff on Surface Water Quality Data**

Author: WSP UK Limited

Document Reference: 3.12.01a

Version Number: 00

Date: March 2024



## Contents

1 HEWRAT assessment of pollution impacts from routine runoff to surface waters. .... 3

### Tables

Table 1.1 – Step one runoff quality parameters ..... 4  
Table 1.2 – Step two river impacts parameters ..... 5  
Table 1.3 – Step three mitigation parameters ..... 6  
Table 1.4 – Results ..... 7



# **1 HEWRAT assessment of pollution impacts from routine runoff to surface waters**

1.1.1 **Table 1.1 to Table 1.4** below provide a full summary of the input parameters and results for each individual outfall assessed.



**Table 1.1 – Step one runoff quality parameters**

<b>Outfall Reference</b>	<b>Easting</b>	<b>Northing</b>	<b>Receiving Watercourse</b>	<b>AADT DS 2041</b>	<b>Climatic Region</b>	<b>Rainfall Site</b>
Basin 5	610391	312599	Foxburrow Stream (a tributary of the River Tud)	47,120 (>10,000 – 50,000)	Warm Dry	Ipswich
Basin 6	609786	312599	River Tud	47,120 (Proposed Scheme) 17,155 (A47 DCO Scheme) (50 – 100,000)	Warm Dry	Huntington



**Table 1.2 – Step two river impacts parameters**

<b>Outfall Reference</b>	<b>Base Flow Index (BFI)</b>	<b>Hardness (mg CaCO3/l)</b>	<b>Q95 Flow (m3/s)</b>	<b>Impermeable Area Drained to the Outfall (ha)</b>	<b>Permeable Area Drained to the Outfall (ha)</b>	<b>River Width (m)</b>	<b>Downstream Structure within 100m of Outfall?</b>	<b>Discharge in or within 1km U/S of a Designated Site?</b>
Basin 5	0.9	High >200	0.0051	6.773	6.718	1.5	No	No
Basin 6	0.55	High >200	0.073	6.656 (1.991 Proposed Scheme) (4.665 A47 DCO Scheme)	7.005 (1.53 Proposed Scheme) (5.475 A47 DCO Scheme)	5	No	No

**Table 1.3 – Step three mitigation parameters**

<b>Outfall Reference</b>	<b>Existing Measures</b>	<b>Treatment for Solubles (%)</b>	<b>Discharge Rate (l/s)</b>	<b>Settlement of Sediments (%)</b>
Basin 5	N/A	70 Swale (50%) + Basin (20%) - 50% reduction from 40%)	19	100 Swale (50%) + Basin (25%) - 50% reduction from 50%)
Basin 6	N/A	35 Swale (80%) + Basin (20%) - 50% reduction from 40%)  No treatment in A47 DCO surface water drainage system so reduced to 35% to account for this.	18	50 Swale (80%) + Basin (25%) - 50% reduction from 50%)  No treatment in A47 DCO surface water drainage system so reduced to 50% to account for this.

**Table 1.4 – Results**

<b>Outfall Reference</b>	<b>Step</b>	<b>Soluble Pollutants: Acute Impact Assessment of Copper</b>	<b>EQS Assessment: Annual Average Concentration of Copper (µg/l) due to Road Runoff</b>	<b>Soluble Pollutants: Acute Impact Assessment of Zinc</b>	<b>EQS Assessment: Annual Average Concentration of Zinc (µg/l) due to Road Runoff</b>	<b>Sediments: Chronic Impact Assessment of Sediment</b>	<b>Cumulative Assessment Required?</b>
Basin 5	Tier 1 Step 2	Pass	0.52 (Pass)	Pass	1.18 (Pass)	Fail 81% settlement needed	No
Basin 5	Tier 1 Step 3	Pass	0.16 (Pass)	Pass	0.36 (Pass)	Pass	No
Basin 6	Tier 1 Step 2	Pass	0.08 (Pass)	Pass	0.23 (Pass)	Pass	No
Basin 6	Tier 1 Step 3	Pass	0.05 (Pass)	Pass	0.15 (Pass)	Pass	No